

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/798,580	Applicant(s) BLINN ET AL.
	Examiner DANT B. SHAIFER HARRIMAN	Art Unit 2434

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) DANT B. SHAIFER HARRIMAN.

(3) Frank Agovino.

(2) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner noted to the applicant that the following was missing from individual claims to order to expedite an examiners amendment, which would bring the application to allowance:

Claims 22: 64 bit PUID
database containing a first policy and second policy group

Claim 30: 64 bit PUID
database containing a first policy and second policy group

Claim 35: 64 bit PUID
database contain a first policy and second policy group coupled to a central server

.